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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This project consisted of the TSSWCB working cooperatively with the LCRA, SWCDs, and NRCS to protect the Highland Lakes for future generations by providing technical and financial assistance to agricultural producers and landowners through the Creekside Conservation Program. Through this project, comprehensive conservation plans of operation were developed for over 42,000 acres and Best Management Practices (BMPs) were implemented on 14,337 of these acres with the collective purpose of reducing sedimentation and agricultural nonpoint source pollution on privately owned land throughout Blanco, Burnet, Lampasas, Llano, San Saba, and Travis Counties.

One goal of the project was to identify and use the most reliable tool available for predicting sediment load reductions resulting from BMP implementation. LCRA worked with NRCS and the USDA Agricultural Research Service (ARS) to help develop and implement one such tool, the Rangeland Hydrology Erosion Model (RHEM). Based on estimates from this model, BMPs installed through this project may have helped reduce sediment load in the project region by approximately 26,737 tons.

Education and outreach is vital to instill a land stewardship ethic for long-term soil and water conservation. This project provided the technology transfer impetus for delivering a positive land conservation message. Education and outreach activities associated with this project included the following:

- 10 project site tours
- 26 public presentations
- 1500 LCRA Creekside Conservation Program brochures distributed
- 1500 range management sticks distributed
- 8 Grant participant feature stories in newspapers and other publications
- 1 magazine article
- 32 newspaper articles/releases
- 30 “Conservation Partner” gate signs distributed
- 1 Creekside Program video featuring grant recipients
INTRODUCTION

As farmers and ranchers lose topsoil to erosion, waterways can suffer from sedimentation and agricultural nonpoint source pollution. This sediment loading can threaten aquatic habitats and impair water quality in the lower Colorado River basin. Nonpoint source pollution has traditionally been considered as one of the greatest threats to this region.

A 1990 Colorado River Sediment Reduction Study conducted by LCRA and NRCS determined that reducing suspended sediment caused by soil erosion and stormwater runoff could be a cost-effective way to lengthen the lives of the Highland Lakes reservoirs of Central Texas and protect aquatic resources by improving water quality. As a result of this study, LCRA began the Creekside Conservation Program, a partnership among producers, NRCS, local SWCDs, and LCRA to help private landowners to reduce erosion and increase water infiltration. In turn, this reduces sediment loads reaching LCRA-controlled reservoirs and waterways, while also improving water quality by reducing nonpoint-source pollution. The program addresses LCRA policies and goals developed to protect and improve water resources in the LCRA 11 county statutory district in Texas (Bastrop, Blanco, Burnet, Colorado, Fayette, Lampasas, Llano, Matagorda, San Saba, Travis and Wharton counties) as shown in figure 1.

Figure 1. LCRA Statutory Counties
This §319(h) project focused on six of LCRA’s eleven statutory counties, all within the “Highland Lakes” region of Central Texas. They were Blanco, Burnet, Lampasas, Llano, San Saba and Travis Counties. Fifty landowners in these counties were provided technical and financial assistance to develop and implement conservation plans. See figure 2.

Figure 2. Conservation Planning Sites
IMPLEMENTATION

LCRA hired a coordinator to oversee the implementation of the Creekside Conservation Program in the Highland Lakes Region. In this capacity, the project coordinator worked with SWCD’s and NRCS personnel to develop and implement conservation plans.

NRCS provided the technical service necessary to compile and execute project conservation plans. Local SWCD’s are the hub of soil and water conservation in each participating county, a place where producers can get technical assistance and learn more about the financial incentives available to them. The producer investment in conservation planning and implementation is the key to success of any project.

The following is an overview of the process used throughout the duration of the project.

A. Project Solicitation: LCRA worked with NRCS and local SWCDs to promote the project through news releases, feature stories, workshops, field days and presentations before various civic groups.

B. Application Process: Individuals interested in participating in the project applied with the NRCS/SWCD office in their respective county. An applicant log was maintained at each NRCS/SWCD office in the eligible counties, and a master log was maintained by LCRA.

C. Determining Eligibility: NRCS conducted field work to determine if proposed conservation practices met NRCS and LCRA guidelines for approval. If requirements were met, then NRCS proceeded with conservation planning.

D. Planning and Implementation

1. NRCS developed Comprehensive Plans of Operation, compiled plan folders and provided copies to producers and LCRA. These folders contained maps, schedules, and contractual documents.

2. NRCS submitted completed folders to their respective SWCD Board of Directors for signature/approval, and then to LCRA for final approval.

3. LCRA distributed folders to participants, who then signed agreement letters and returned signed documents to LCRA, after which contracts were considered binding.

4. Participants were given approximately one calendar year to implement their selected BMPs. Upon completion, participants were asked to contact
NRCS for practice certification. After NRCS certification, LCRA submitted payment to participants.

5. All contracts stipulated a three year duration to allow for follow-up monitoring of success by LCRA and NRCS.

It is worthy to note that as a means of instilling a sound conservation ethic, comprehensive management plans were written to encompass the land unit as a whole, rather than simply keying on the specific areas within the planned management acreage that were targeted for treatment.

Conservation management plans included prescribed treatments with the collective purpose of:

- Improving water quality
- Controlling erosion
- Restoring natural resource community balance
- Creating the desired plant community
- Restoring desired vegetative cover to protect soils
- Reducing sedimentation
- Enhancing stream flow
- Maintaining and/or enhancing wildlife habitat including that associated with threatened and endangered species
- Improving forage accessibility, quality and quantity for livestock

Practices implemented through the project included the following:

- Critical Area Shaping and Planting
- Range and Pasture Planting
- Watering Facility Installation (Pipeline, Trough, Well)
- Cross Fencing
- Prescribed Grazing
- Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
- Brush management

**PROJECT TRACKING**
The LCRA project coordinator worked closely with NRCS staff to develop tract maps and to make regular project site visits. Table 1 provides a summary of conservation practice implementation results by county.
Table 1. LCRA Creekside Conservation Program Participation by County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Blanco</th>
<th>Burnet</th>
<th>Lampasas</th>
<th>Llano</th>
<th>San Saba</th>
<th>Travis</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Participants</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Acres*</td>
<td>14,950</td>
<td>1,844</td>
<td>3,670</td>
<td>14,226</td>
<td>4,763</td>
<td>2,862</td>
<td>42,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Acres**</td>
<td>5,756</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>4,677</td>
<td>2,071</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>14,337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brush Management Acres</td>
<td>1,704</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>1,815</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>5,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range Reseeding/Pasture Planting Acres</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Area Planting/Shaping Acres</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross Fencing Feet</td>
<td>37,264</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,099</td>
<td>11,790</td>
<td>7,049</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>59,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Troughs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Wells</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline Feet</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,280</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Management Acres: Through the Creekside Program, a holistic approach is taken towards land management, and for each project, a conservation plan of operation is developed to encompass the entire land unit. Each plan includes specific treatments (treatment acres) as well as recommendations for facilitating practices such as prescribed grazing and upland wildlife habitat management.

**Treatment Acres: For this report, project treatment acreage includes only those acres specifically treated with range and pasture reseeding, alternative water source installation, critical area shaping/planting, cross fencing, and brush management. While the entire acreage will certainly benefit from long range planning for improved grazing and upland wildlife habitat, the sediment load reduction effects of this project are realized to a greater extent on these treated acres.
PROJEC'T SNAPSHOT
HARRIS KAFFIE RANCH: BURNET COUNTY

Conservation Practice Implementation
Conservation practices implemented through the project focused on reduction of sediment loads. NRCS technical guidelines were followed to determine eligible practices.

The Harris Kaffie Ranch in Burnet County is a good example of the cooperative effort made possible by this project. Mr. Kaffie’s 360 acre ranch was considered highly erodible, with a low vigor vegetative cover resulting in erosion rates exceeding tolerable limits.

In order to return this land to a state that was less susceptible to excessive soil erosion, NRCS personnel created a conservation plan that included selective brush management and prescribed grazing. The results were successful as illustrated in the following pictures.
Kaffie Ranch prior to selective brush management, range reseeding and prescribed grazing

Kaffie Ranch during selective brush management, range reseeding and prescribed grazing
Kaffie Ranch one week after selective brush management, range reseeding and deferment of grazing

Kaffie Ranch one month after selective brush management, range reseeding and deferment of grazing
Kaffie Ranch six months after selective brush management, range reseeding and deferment of grazing

Kaffie Ranch one year after selective brush management, range reseeding and deferment of grazing
PROJECT SNAPSHOT
JERRY RUSH RANCH: LLANO COUNTY

Conservation Practice Implementation
Prior to implementation of BMPs through this project, the Rush Ranch in Llano County was susceptible to excessive soil erosion and consequential stream sedimentation due to overgrazing and encroachment of brush species.

This ranch was a prime candidate to participate in the project. Best management practices were implemented to address overgrazing and infestation of brush species.

Rush Ranch Situation:
Approximately 223 acres of rangeland/pastureland located along tributaries of Wrights Creek in Llano County. The area treated was in fair to poor range condition with declining range trends, infested with Ashe juniper and mesquite, and susceptible to excessive soil erosion and formation of gullies.

Scope of Work and Solution to Problem:
Conservation practices installed were critical area shaping/planting, range reseeding and prescribed grazing. These practices provided necessary protection to reduce sediment yields. The following pictures illustrate the success of this project.
Critical area shaping followed by reseeding of severely eroded area

NRCS personnel survey the results of shaping and reseeding
Riparian areas, adjacent to treated land flourish after project completion.
TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

Technology transfer was pivotal to the success of this project. Feature stories focusing on participating landowners were submitted into local news publications and highlighted on LCRA’s web site (www.lcra.org). LCRA presented program successes to potential participants during regularly scheduled educational field days, workshops and seminars. Participating landowners in each of the counties also received gate signs that recognized participants as “Creekside Conservation Partners.”
Field Tours and Presentations
The project coordinator led field tours of the sites throughout the region, stayed in contact with the various SWCD Boards by regularly attending their monthly board meetings, and provided presentations and project updates to the SWCDs in the region. The various events participated in are listed below by county, followed by an example:

Blanco County
- 2004 Fall “Country Living” Workshop
- 2005 Fall “Country Living” Workshop
- 2005 Pedernales River Advisory Panel Field Tour
- 2006 Fall “Country Living” Workshop
- 2007 Riparian Workshop
- 2008 Harris Greenwood Ranch Field Tour
- 2008 Blanco County Spring Conservation Workshop
- 2009 Blanco County Spring Conservation Workshop
- 2009 Johnson City Middle School 7th Grade Teaching Rangeland Mgt.

Burnet County
- 2005 Texas AgriLife Extension Service Landowner Presentation
- 2005 Burnet County Fall Field Day
- 2006 Burnet County Spring Field Day
- 2007 Burnet/Lampasas Spring Workshop
- 2009 AgriLife Extension Service Leadership Academy Presentation

Lampasas County
- 2008 Land Stewardship Workshop Creekside Program Presentation

Llano County
- 2005 Conservation Workshop
- 2005 Beef and Range Field Day
- 2005 Spring Workshop
- 2007 Beef and Range Field Day
- 2007 Spring Workshop
- 2009 Beef and Range Field Day

San Saba County
- 2009 Spring Field Day

Travis County
- 2005 American Society of Farm Appraisers Hill Country Tour
- 2006 International Visitor Leadership Program Tour
- 2007 Earth Day Event at LCRA General Office Complex
- 2007 Peacock Ranch Fall Field Day
- 2008 “Landowner’s Toolbox” Conservation Workshop
2006 Burnet County Land Stewardship Field Day: Harris Kaffie Ranch
Burnet County Land Stewardship Field Day

The Burnet County Cooperative Extension Service and LCRA invite you to attend and educational field day on the Harris Kaffie Ranch in Burnet County. There will be an informative presentation on brush management and follow-up maintenance by Dr. Alan McGinty, range conservationist with the Texas Cooperative Extension Service. Also, Bobby Humphrey, Conservation Specialist with LCRA will give a presentation on the LCRA Creekside Conservation Program and the “range management” stick. A Barbeque lunch will be served.

When: June 16, 2006
10:00 am – 12:30 pm

Where: Harris Kaffie Ranch:
Located 2 miles east of Marble Falls on FM 1431.

Take FM 1431 east at the Hwy 281 intersection in Marble Falls and drive 2 miles. Signs will help guide you to the ranch entrance which is located just past the Pleasant Valley Cemetery.

Topics: Holistic Approach to Land Management
Selective Brush Management
Follow-up maintenance- chemical and mechanical practices
LCRA Creekside Conservation Program
Water Quality and Quantity- how it relates to land management

RSVP: Wade Hibler
CEA-AG & Natural Resources
1701 E. Polk  Suite 12
Burnet, TX 78611
512-756-5463 office
512-715-5220 fax
e-mail: wadehibler@tamu.edu
Brochure Development and Distribution

LCRA produced a Creekside Conservation Program brochure in 2004, and made it available to the public throughout the duration of the project. See Appendix A.
The “Range Management” Stick

LCRA distributed the “pasture stick” at the various field days and workshops. The “pasture stick” is a specially designed yardstick printed on all four sides with helpful formulas, tips and guidelines to help manage a ranch. Logos of participating agencies, including TSSWCB are stamped on these sticks.
Feature Stories

At the onset of the project, LCRA determined that the best way to maximize the education and outreach potential of the project would be to let the participants tell their story. The resulting feature stories have been quite successful in conveying the soil and water conservation message.

Feature Stories:

- Chanas Ranch, Llano County
- Greenwood Ranch, Blanco County
- Kaffie Ranch, Burnet County
- Keith Ranch, Blanco County
- Ligon Ranch, Llano County
- Simpson Ranch, San Saba County
- Towhead Creek Ranch, Blanco County

These stories are available on LCRA’s website at:

http://www.lcra.org/community/conservation/creekside.html
Conservation Gate Signs

In recognition of the conservation partnerships formed as a result of this project, each participating land owner received a “Conservation Partnership” gate sign to be displayed at the site. LCRA held gate sign presentations and invited local media to cover many of these events.
A San Saba County Creekside Participant, The Hilltop Ranch received the 2007 Association of Texas Soil and Water Conservation Districts’ Region II “Outstanding Wildlife Conservationist” Award for their efforts to restore native vegetation and improve water quality and quantity.

In 2007, LCRA Conservation Services received the Texas Parks and Wildlife’s Lone Star Land Steward Award. As part of this award, the Creekside Conservation Program was recognized as a critical component of LCRA’s efforts to promote soil and water conservation.
The project was also featured in other publications such as the Texas Section Society for Range Management’s *Grass Roots* periodical, various agency websites, and local papers.
EVALUATION

Project BMP’s were implemented in the Highland Lakes region, primarily on rangeland in poor condition with erosion rates exceeding tolerable limits. With guidance from NRCS and ARS, the Rangeland Hydrology and Erosion Model (RHEM) was used to quantify soil savings from project sites before and after project implementation. Widely accepted as an adequate replacement to the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation, RHEM predicts rangeland soil erosion and resulting sediment load on specific ecological site descriptions (ESDs) under varying states of hydrologic function.

Five ESD’s accounted for approximately 74% of the total project acres. The remaining 26% was comprised of sites with similar hydrologic function. NRCS and ARS advised that these minor sites be included in the total project acres according to their major site similarity.

Table 2 contains summary information for all project sites based on fifty year average design storm events. Sediment load reduction estimates were derived by comparing estimated return period sediment rates before and after project implementation.

**Table 2. Sediment load reduction resulting from project implementation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecological Site</th>
<th>Project Acres Under BMP Treatment</th>
<th>Sediment (tons/acre) Present Conditions (before project)</th>
<th>Sediment (tons/acre) Future Conditions (after project)</th>
<th>Estimated Sediment Load Reduction (tons/acre)</th>
<th>Estimated Total Sediment Load Reduction (tons)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adobe</td>
<td>1,497</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>2,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Stony Hills</td>
<td>1,258</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redland</td>
<td>5,391</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>7,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>4,050</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>13,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steep Adobe</td>
<td>2,141</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>2,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Acres:</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,337</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Sediment Load Reduction:</strong> 26,737</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION

The Creekside Conservation Program began in 1990 with the overall goal of working cooperatively with private landowners to reduce erosion and increase water infiltration, thereby reducing sediment loads reaching LCRA-controlled reservoirs and waterways. The CWA §319(h) grant from the TSSWCB and EPA enabled LCRA to increase the number of participating landowners throughout the Highland Lakes region of LCRA’s statutory district. The grant provided a financial incentive for 50 landowners to implement BMPs, and to take a holistic, long-term approach to land management through the creation of conservation plans of operation. Not only have these 50 landowners benefited, but so has the entire lower Colorado River basin through a reduction in soil erosion and sediment load reaching receiving water bodies and the resulting improvement in water quality.

The implementation of conservation practices has truly been a joint effort. LCRA relied on its partnership with NRCS and local SWCD’s for project coordination and implementation. The TSSWCB provided timely guidance and support during the project. Private landowners trusted these agencies to provide the technical expertise necessary to conduct conservation planning.

This partnership between agencies and landowners alike was not only expressed through conservation practice implementation, but through education and outreach. Landowners allowed LCRA and all other cooperating agencies access to their property for the purpose of conducting field tours, workshops, and seminars. They told their own unique stories of their land, their lives and their stewardship efforts. Then they allowed LCRA to tell these stories in various periodicals, newsletters and web sites. They proudly accepted and displayed their “Conservation Partner” gate signs. As a result of these efforts, a vast audience was reached in the interest of land stewardship.

The art of telling the conservation story was validated by the science of quantifying soil savings as a result of these efforts. When the Creekside Program began, its effectiveness was evaluated based on the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation. This project allowed LCRA to work with the NRCS to implement a more accurate tool for predicting rangeland erosion rates, and to use that tool for program evaluation. The Rangeland Hydrology Erosion Model provided the advanced technology necessary to accomplish this task. This project served as the perfect demonstration of this technology. This model will also allow LCRA and others to better quantify the results of BMPs on rangeland well into the future.
APPENDIX A

LCRA Creekside Conservation Program Brochure
How do I participate in the program?

Landowners may receive up to 50 percent of the cost of pre-approved soil and water conservation and land management projects. The local office of the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) helps owners plan the projects, and LCRA provides the matching funds.

There are three steps in the process:
1. Landowners first must contact their local NRCS office. The NRCS identifies and selects projects that qualify for matching funds based on the severity of the problem, the project’s compatibility with the program’s objectives, and the availability of other funding sources.
2. The NRCS submits the plans for each project to the local Soil and Water Conservation District for review and approval. After a project is approved by the district, the NRCS submits it to LCRA for final approval.
3. Upon successful completion of the project, the landowner is reimbursed as much as one-half the actual cost. The NRCS and LCRA review projects annually for three years to monitor success.

For more information...

To find out how the Creekside Conservation Program can help you improve your land, contact your local office of the Natural Resources Conservation Service. For general information about the program, call LCRA’s natural resource conservation coordinators at 1-800-776-5272, Ext. 2794.

Creekside Conservation Program Sponsors

Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA)
Local Soil and Water Conservation District Boards
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Texas State Soil and Water Conservation Board

About LCRA

LCRA is a conservation and reclamation district created by the Texas Legislature in 1934. LCRA provides energy, water and community services to the people of Texas. It cannot levy taxes, but funds its operations with income from the sale of electricity, water and other services.

LCRA generates electricity and sells it wholesale to city-owned utilities and cooperatives that serve more than 1.1 million people in Texas. LCRA also builds and operates transmission projects through a nonprofit corporation, manages and protects the lower Colorado River, provides water and wastewater utilities, owns and operates parks, and offers economic and community development assistance to communities.
Why is soil and water conservation important?

Farmers, ranchers and other landowners who manage their lands to conserve soil and water can reduce the use of chemicals, save money and increase the value of their land. They also are helping to protect the region’s primary source of water — the Highland Lakes and the lower Colorado River — by reducing soil erosion.

The problem of soil erosion has increased in recent years with the spread of invasive brush species such as mesquite and ashe juniper (or cedar) across farms and ranches in Central Texas. These plants can choke out native vegetation that prevents soil erosion, causing thousands of acres of valuable soil to wash into the Highland Lakes, the lower Colorado River and their tributaries every year. The result is build-up of sediment, which can harm water quality, worsen flooding and threaten aquatic habitats.

What can I do to prevent soil erosion on my land?

Many landowners today are improving the condition of their lands by implementing best management practices (BMPs) to improve soil retention, native vegetation and water infiltration. Examples of these practices include selective brush management, vegetative or riparian buffers along creeks and other waterways, slope stabilization, field terracing, sustainable range seeding, land shaping and rotational grazing systems.

Landowners in the lower Colorado River basin who want to use best management practices to prevent soil erosion, protect water quality and improve their lands may be eligible for matching funds through LCRA’s Creekside Conservation Program.

Why does LCRA offer a soil and water conservation program?

LCRA is a conservation and reclamation district that manages water supply, water quality and flooding along the Highland Lakes and lower Colorado River, from San Saba to Matagorda Bay. One of LCRA’s first responsibilities when it was created in 1934 was soil conservation. As manager and protector of the region’s main source of water, LCRA continues to encourage landowners in the lower Colorado River basin to practice soil and water conservation.

LCRA began the Creekside Conservation Program in 1990 to reduce soil erosion and agricultural nonpoint-source pollution. The program helps landowners retain soil and enhance land productivity. It also benefits the entire river basin by reducing sedimentation of streams, lakes and water supply reservoirs and lessening degradation of water quality and aquatic habitat.

LCRA works with local, state and federal agencies to provide matching grants to private landowners for qualifying land conservation and management projects. Landowners who participate in the program can reduce soil loss and rainfall runoff, increase land productivity, improve groundwater filtration, and enhance wildlife habitat.

Who may participate in the program?

The program is offered to owners of private land in the following 11 counties in the lower Colorado basin. Landowners first must qualify for the program.

- Bastrop
- Blanco
- Burnet
- Colorado
- Fayette
- Lampasas
- Llano
- Matagorda
- San Saba
- Travis
- Wharton